

STATE OF ILLINOIS OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

William G. Holland, Auditor General

SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST

LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING AND STANDARDS BOARD

COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION For the Two Years Ended: June 30, 2012

Release Date: June 27, 2013

Summary of Findings:Total this audit:5Total last audit:9Repeated from last audit:3

SYNOPSIS

- Controls over equipment and related records need to be improved.
- Controls over employee attendance and related records were inadequate.

{Expenditures and Activity Measures are summarized on the reverse page.}

LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING AND STANDARDS BOARD COMPLIANCE EXAMINATION For the Two Years Ended June 30, 2012

EXPENDITURE STATISTICS	2012	2011	2010
Total Expenditures	\$ 12,886,498	\$ 12,021,586	\$ 11,921,985
OPERATIONS TOTAL % of Total Expenditures	\$ 3,113,152 24.2%	\$ 2,738,395 22.8%	\$ 2,578,861 21.6%
Personal Services Other Payroll Costs (FICA, Retirement) All Other Operating Expenditures	\$ 1,273,287 861,141 978,724	\$ 1,137,443 696,779 904,173	\$ 1,124,258 651,050 803,553
AWARDS AND GRANTS % of Total Expenditures	\$ 9,773,346 75.8%	\$ 9,283,191 77.2%	\$ 9,343,124 78.4%
Total Receipts	\$ 19,126,906	\$ 19,737,131	\$ 20,349,556
Average Number of Employees	18	18	18

SELECTED ACTIVITY MEASURES (not examined)	2012	2011	2010
Law Enforcement Officers completing			
mandated basic training	592	545	658
County Corrections Officers completing			
mandated basic training	471	473	276
Public Safety Personnel trained utilizing			
in-service training delivery system	47,209	48,925	42,840
AGENCY DIRECTOR			

AGENCY DIRECTOR		
During Examination Period:	Mr. Kevin T. McClain	
Currently:	Mr. Kevin T. McClain	

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

NEED TO IMPROVE CONTROLS OVER EQUIPMENT AND RELATED RECORDS

The Board did not maintain sufficient controls over its equipment and related records. During testing, we noted the following:

• For 30 of 30 (100%) equipment additions and deletions tested, totaling \$53,495, the Board adjusted its property records from 150 to 661 days late.

- Eighteen of 120 (15%) equipment items tested were located in a different geographical location than reported on the property listing.
- Four of 60 (7%) equipment items selected for testing, totaling \$3,264, did not have a property tag. In addition, one of 60 (2%) equipment items selected for testing, totaling \$1,976, could not be located.
- One of 60 (2%) equipment items selected for testing was not properly included in the annual DCMS property certification filed during Fiscal Year 2012.
- The Board recorded 23 equipment items on its property control system at the incorrect values. The Board received these items from DCMS surplus, all with \$0 recorded values; however, the Board tagged them and recorded the items with a value of \$99 each. (Finding 1, pages 9-10)

We recommended the Board establish controls over property reporting and implement procedures to ensure the property control records are adjusted timely for equipment transactions with the proper location and amount. We also recommended the Board ensure equipment items are properly tagged, and equipment items with a purchase price of \$500 or more are included on the Annual Inventory Certification filed with DCMS.

Board officials agreed with the recommendation.

NEED TO IMPROVE CONTROLS OVER EMPLOYEE ATTENDANCE

The Board did not exercise adequate controls over employee attendance.

We tested six months of attendance records for six employees and noted the following:

Equipment additions and deletions recorded 150 to 661 days late

Equipment items were not tagged

Equipment recorded at the incorrect values

Board agrees with auditors

Leave slips not submitted timely

Leave slips not approved by a supervisor

Timesheets did not agree with Board records

Timesheets were not submitted as required

- Three of six (50%) employees tested did not submit leave slips timely or in advance. In 15 separate instances, leave slips were submitted from five to 41 days late.
- Two of six (33%) employees tested did not have leave requests properly approved by a supervisor.
- Three of six (50%) employees tested had time sheets that did not agree with leave requests, daily sign-out sheets, and timekeeping system.
- Three of six (50%) employees tested did not submit bi-monthly time sheets as required. One employee did not submit two time sheets, a second employee did not submit three time sheets, and a third employee did not submit any time sheets during the six months tested. (Finding 12-2, pages 11-12) **This finding was first reported in 2008.**

We recommended the Board implement the necessary controls in order to maintain accurate and daily attendance records in compliance with the Illinois Administrative Code. Specifically, we recommended the Board ensure employee attendance records are correct, complete, properly approved and reconciled with leave requests. Further, we recommended the Board ensure employees submit leave slips in advance or as timely as possible. In addition, we recommended the Board adjust the timekeeping system for any errors noted.

Board agrees with auditors Board officials agreed with our recommendation. (For the previous Board response, see Digest Footnote #1)

OTHER FINDINGS

The remaining findings pertain to voucher processing, Identity Protection Act, and performance appraisals. We will review the Board's progress towards the implementation of our recommendations in our next engagement.

AUDITOR'S OPINION

We conducted a compliance examination of the Board as required by the Illinois State Auditing Act. We have not audited any financial statements of the Board for the purpose of expressing an opinion because the Board does not, nor is it required to, prepare financial statements.

WILLIAM G. HOLLAND Auditor General

WGH:PH:rt

AUDITORS ASSIGNED

This examination was performed by the staff of the Office of the Auditor General.

DIGEST FOOTNOTES

<u>#1 – INADEQUATE CONTROL OVER EMPLOYEE</u> <u>ATTENDANCE – Previous Board Response</u>

The Board accepts the recommendation. Electronic time and attendance recordkeeping methods of other state agencies have been researched. Presently, the Board is testing a second such method (the first was not compatible with the Board's IT environment). The three employees noted have been reminded of the importance of timely and accurate attendance records. Furthermore, the Deputy Director has issued an agency-wide e-mail re-emphasizing the need for timesheet accuracy and compliance, including time off/leave requests.