UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

(A Component Unit of the State of Illinois)

Reports Required Under Government Auditing Standards

For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

Performed as Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, State of Illinois



UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

(A Component Unit of the State of Illinois)

Reports Required Under Government Auditing Standards

For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

Performed as Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, State of Illinois

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

(A Component Unit of the State of Illinois)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
UNIVERSITY OFFICIALS	1
SUMMARY	2
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS.	3
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS	5
SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS NOT REPEATED	10

THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

EX OFFICIO MEMBER The Governor of Illinois

MEMBERS

Ramón Cepeda	Darien
Ricardo Estrada	Chicago
Patrick J. Fitzgerald	
Karen Hasara	Springfield
Patricia Brown Holmes	
Timothy N. Koritz	Roscoe
Edward L. McMillan	
James D. Montgomery, Sr	Chicago
Jill B. Smart	Downers Grove

STUDENT TRUSTEES

Jaylin D. McClinton	
Jauwan Hall	
Dominique Wilson	

BOARD OFFICERS

Edward L. McMillan	
Lester H. McKeever, Jr.	Treasurer
Walter K. Knorr	
Thomas R. Bearrows	
Susan M. Kies	Secretary

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICERS

Timothy L. Killeen	President
Patrick M. Patterson	
Julie A. Zemaitis	Executive Director of University Audits
Phyllis M. Wise	Chancellor and Vice President, University of Illinois at Urbana – Champaign (through August 12, 2015)
Barbara J. Wilson	Acting Chancellor and Vice President, University of Illinois at Urbana – Champaign (effective August 13, 2015)
	Interim Chancellor and Vice President, University of Illinois at Urbana – Champaign (effective September 10, 2015)
1	
Michael E. Bloechle	Director of Business Services, University of Illinois at Springfield

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS (A Component Unit of the State of Illinois) Government Auditing Standards Report

Government Auditing Report Summary

The audit of the financial statements of the University of Illinois (University) was performed by CliftonLarsonAllen LLP in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*. This report is an integral part of that audit. Based on their audit and the reports of other auditors, the auditors expressed an unmodified opinion on the University's basic financial statements.

Summary of Findings

The auditors identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that they considered to be significant deficiencies, which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and responses on pages 5 through 9 of this report

Exit Conference

A request to waive a formal exit conference was made by the University in a correspondence dated December 7, 2016. Responses and recommendations were provided by the University's Office of Business and Financial Services in correspondence dated December 7, 2016



CliftonLarsonAllen LLP CLAconnect.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Honorable Frank J. Mautino Auditor General, State of Illinois and Board of Trustees University of Illinois

As Special Assistant Auditors for the Auditor General, we have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the business-type activities of University of Illinois and the aggregate discretely presented component units, collectively a component unit of the State of Illinois, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the University of Illinois' basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated February 1, 2017.

Our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of the discretely presented component units, as described in our report on the University's financial statements. Other auditors audited the financial statements of the University of Illinois Foundation (a discretely presented component unit) in accordance with Government Auditing Standards, as described in our report on the University's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditors' testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors. The financial statements of the University of Illinois Alumni Association; Wolcott, Wood, and Taylor, Inc.; Prairieland Energy, Inc.; Illinois Ventures, LLC; the University Research Park, LLC; and UI Singapore Research, LLC (all discretely presented component units), were not audited in accordance with *Government Auditing* Standards and accordingly this report does not include reporting on internal control over financial reporting or over financial reporting or instances of reportable noncompliance with those entities.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the University of Illinois' internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the University of Illinois' internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the University of Illinois' internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a

combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting, described in the accompanying schedule of findings as items 2016-001, 2016-002, and 2016-003 that we consider to be significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged governance.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the University of Illinois' financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

University of Illinois' Response to Findings

The University of Illinois' response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings. The University of Illinois' responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the University of Illinois' internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the University of Illinois' internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

SIGNED ORIGINAL ON FILE

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Peoria, Illinois February 1, 2017

2016-001. Finding: <u>Inadequate Procedures over Expense Deferrals and Other</u> <u>Cut-off Related Issues</u>

The University of Illinois (the University) has not established adequate internal controls over accurately identifying and recording deferred expense transactions and reporting prepaid expenses at fiscal year-end for financial reporting purposes.

During our audit, we noted that the University's procedures to identify and record prepaid expenses include a review of all cash disbursements by University Payables (UPAY). UPAY identifies expense transactions that pertain to multiple fiscal years and code them for further review by University Accounting and Financial Reporting (UAFR). UAFR will then post year-end journal entries to appropriately defer the identified expense transactions. In addition to the review of all cash disbursements by UPAY, UAFR also requires individual units to identify and report known accrual and deferral transactions at fiscal year-end for certain fund types. We also noted that the University's year-end accounts payable procedures include specifically reviewing cash disbursements made subsequent to year-end through the end of October to determine which accounting period the related expense transactions pertain.

In relation to our test work over expense transactions, we reviewed 225 cash disbursement transactions (totaling \$66,416,080), 60 P-Card expense transactions (totaling \$264,882), and 60 T-Card expense transactions (totaling \$331,836) recorded during the fiscal year. In relation to our test work over revenue transactions, we reviewed 60 cash receipt transactions recorded during the fiscal year (totaling \$47,087,045). We also reviewed 13 cash disbursements occurring subsequent to year-end (totaling \$35,244,921). Additionally, we separately reviewed 12 internal journal voucher transactions recorded during the fiscal year (totaling \$13,266,046 debits and \$423,600 credits).

During our review of these transactions, we noted the following items were not recorded in the proper accounting period:

University of Illinois transactions

- One (1) general expense cash disbursement (totaling \$4,157) that was recorded as an expense for fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, should have been fully accrued as of June 30, 2015 at an amount of \$4,157.
- One (1) general expense cash disbursement (totaling \$27,563) that was recorded as an expense for fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, should have been partially deferred as of June 30, 2016 at an amount of \$17,662, and then recognized as an expense for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2017.
- Three (3) cash receipts (totaling \$67,225) that were recorded as operating revenue for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, should have been partially accrued as of June 30, 2015 at an amount of \$61,070.

University of Illinois P-card transactions

• Three (3) general and service expense cash disbursements (totaling \$8,399) that were recorded as expenses for fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, should have been partially deferred as of June 30, 2016 at an amount of \$8,222, and then recognized as expenses for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2017.

University of Illinois T-card transactions

• One (1) general expense cash disbursement (totaling \$1,895) that was recorded as an expense for fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, should have been deferred as of June 30, 2016, and then recognized as an expense for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2017.

Generally accepted accounting principles require transactions to be reported in the period they are incurred. Additionally, the Fiscal Control and Internal Auditing Act (30 ILCS 10/3001), requires the University to establish and maintain a system, or systems, of internal fiscal and administrative controls, which shall provide assurance that: (1) resources are utilized efficiently, effectively, and in compliance with applicable law; (2) obligations and costs are in compliance with applicable law; (3) funds, property, and other assets and resources are safeguarded against waste, loss, unauthorized use, and misappropriation; (4) revenues, expenditures, and transfers of assets, resources, or funds applicable to operations are properly recorded and accounted for to permit the preparation of accounts and reliable financial and statistical reports and to maintain accountability over the State's resources; and (5) funds held outside the State Treasury are managed, used, and obtained in strict accordance with the terms of their enabling authorities and that no unauthorized funds exist. The University's system of internal controls should include procedures to ensure expenses and revenues are recognized in the appropriate reporting period.

In discussing these conditions with University personnel, they stated that the units associated with the exceptions did not adequately follow the procedures to record the transactions in the proper period. While the University believes it has processes in place to prevent material misstatements in the financial statements, the highly decentralized business environment with hundreds of units and large volumes of transactions does present challenges to catching all errors.

Failure to accurately analyze and record cash receipts and disbursements within the proper fiscal year may result in the misstatement of the University's financial statements. (Finding Code 2016-001, 2015-001, 2014-001, 2013-001, 12-01, 11-01, 10-03, 09-03)

Recommendation:

We recommend the University continue to review its process to assess the existence of current period revenues and expenses and consider changes necessary to ensure they are accurately identified and recorded for presentation in the University's financial statements.

University Response:

Accepted. The University will continue to implement corrective actions to address the recommendation in this finding.

2016-002. Finding: <u>Inadequate Controls Over University Procurement Card</u> <u>Transactions</u>

The University of Illinois (the University) has not complied with University policies and internal controls over procurement card transactions.

The University operates a procurement card program which allows individuals throughout the University to make smaller purchases (defined as less than \$4,999) on a credit card which is directly paid by the University on a monthly basis. The University's policies require employees assigned a procurement card to complete training on policies and procedures, pass a test, and sign an agreement stipulating they will use the card in accordance with University policy. This agreement is also required to be authorized by the individual's supervisor or the department head. The University's policies require transactions incurred on the procurement card to be approved in the University's procurement card system by the individual cardholder and an assigned reviewer.

During our test work over 85 procurement card transactions totaling \$302,720, we noted the following:

- One transaction (totaling \$3,850) was a charge for pharmaceuticals/drugs, which are prohibited by the University's procurement card policies.
- Four transactions (totaling \$30,699) were paid in two or more installments, circumventing the card holder's single transaction limit of \$4,999.
- One transaction (totaling \$6,582) was made prior to the approval of form "Software Purchased by P-Card". The form was approved two days after the transaction date.

The University has approximately 4,462 active procurement cards and the procurement card expenditures paid for the year ended June 30, 2016 totaled \$58,487,244.

The University policy states that all P-Card exception requests must be submitted to UPAY Card Services using the P-Card Exception Request. Based on the exception criteria, UPAY Card Services routes the request to the appropriate unit(s) or employee(s) for review, and notifies the requester via email of the approval or denial of the request. Exceptions must be approved prior to making the requested purchase(s).

The University policy states that prior to purchasing software with a P-card, units must complete and retain in their files the "Software Purchased by P-Card" form.

Also, the Fiscal Control and Internal Auditing Act (30 ILCS 10/3001) requires the University to establish and maintain a system, or systems, of internal fiscal and administrative controls, which shall provide assurance that: (1) resources are utilized effectively, and in compliance with applicable law; (2) obligations and cost are in compliance with applicable law; (3) funds, property, and other assets and resources are safeguarded against waste, loss, unauthorized use, and misappropriation; (4) revenues, expenditures, and transfers of assets, resources or funds applicable to operations are properly recorded and accounted for to permit preparation of accounts and reliable financial and statistical reports and to maintain accountability over the State's resources; and (5) funds held outside the State Treasury are managed, used, and obtained in strict accordance with the terms of their enabling authorities and that no unauthorized funds exist. The University's system of internal controls should include

procedures to ensure procurement card transactions are in accordance with University policies and procedures and supporting documentation for each transaction is maintained.

University management indicated the bulleted exceptions largely resulted from human error at the individual and unit level. In addition, management indicated the 4 transactions related to circumventing the card holder's single transaction limit of \$4,999 are the result of misunderstanding prohibitions outlined in policy.

Failure to properly review and approve procurement card transactions in accordance with University policies could result in erroneous or fraudulent transactions being recorded in the general ledger system. (Finding Code 2016-002, 2015-002, 2014-002, 2013-002, 12-02, 11-03, 10-02, 09-02, and 08-03)

Recommendation:

We recommend the University continue to review and improve its internal controls over procurement card transactions to ensure compliance with University policies so that erroneous or fraudulent transactions are not recorded in the general ledger system.

University Response:

Accepted. The University recognizes the importance of process controls, training, and transaction monitoring in this area. Further corrective action measures will be taken in connection with the recommendation in this finding.

2016-003. Finding: <u>Inadequate Procedures over Maintenance of Accounts</u> <u>Payable Master Vendor File</u>

The University of Illinois (the University) has inadequate controls in place to monitor and maintain the accounts payable master vendor file.

During our review of the University's accounts payable master vendor file (with 144,071 total vendors), we noted there were 779 duplicate records representing 360 vendors. The vendors had the same name but were given different vendor identification numbers in the accounts payable system. In addition, we noted 3,115 vendors without an employer identification number (EIN) listed and 105,938 vendors with no activity within the 3 previous fiscal years.

Good business practices recommend that the accounts payable master vendor file be reviewed for possible duplicate vendor data. The Fiscal Control and Internal Auditing Act (30 ILCS 10/3001) requires each State Agency to establish and maintain an effective system of internal control, which would include controls over the master vendor file. Per University policies, vendors should also have an employer identification number (EIN) on file when creating the vendor to ensure the vendor is not fraudulent. In addition vendors with no recent activity should be inactivated to ensure no inappropriate payments are made to outdated vendors.

The University indicated that the conditions found within the University's accounts payable master vendor file largely resulted from a combination of systems limitations and the need for more regular reviews of the vendor master file. Regarding the systems limitations, one challenge involves the inability to delete a vendor in the system without also deleting the related spending history for that vendor. As such, there has been a historic hesitancy to delete vendors from the vendor file. A second challenge relates to the fact that some vendors are foreign nationals and do not have an employer identification number (EIN). Identifying these vendors in the system requires significant manual effort.

Failure to appropriately monitor the accounts payable master vendor file may result in an unauthorized vendor payment. (Finding Code 2016-003)

Recommendation:

We recommend the University review and implement stronger internal controls in order to monitor and maintain the accounts payable master vendor file.

University Response:

Accepted. The University will continue to implement necessary corrective action to address the recommendation in this finding. Development and testing initiatives are on-going and will continue with staff from Administrative Information Technology Services (AITS) to resolve the system limitations regarding the inactivation/deletion of vendors with no activity and properly identifying foreign vendors and nationals.

A. Finding: <u>Inadequate Controls Over Monitoring External Audits, Attestation</u> Engagements and Other Studies

During the prior audit, the auditors noted the University's system of internal controls over monitoring external audits, attestation engagements and studies were inadequate as there were no policies or procedures requiring central monitoring to ensure all identified risks and deficiencies were adequately assessed and addressed at a University-wide level. (Finding Code No. 2015-003)

Status: Not Repeated

During the current year engagement, the auditors noted the University strengthened their internal controls over monitoring external audits, attestation engagements and studies in order to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations.