



# National State Auditors Association

## PEER REVIEW REPORT

September 19, 2014

Mr. William G. Holland  
Auditor General  
Iles Park Plaza, 740 East Ash St.  
Springfield, Illinois


Dear Mr. Holland:


We have reviewed the system of quality control of the Illinois Office of the Auditor General (the office) in effect for financial and single audits and compliance examination engagements of entities with a fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, September 30, 2013, or December 31, 2013 and issued prior to August 31, 2014, and performance audits issued during the period July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014. A system of quality control encompasses the office's organizational structure and the policies adopted and procedures established to provide it with reasonable assurance of performing and reporting in conformity with applicable professional standards in all material respects. The design of the system and compliance with it are the responsibility of the office. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the design of the system and the office's compliance with the system based on our review.

We conducted our review in accordance with the policies and procedures for external peer reviews established by the National State Auditors Association (NSAA). In performing our review, we obtained an understanding of the office's system of quality control for engagements conducted in accordance with professional standards. In addition, we tested compliance with the office's quality control policies and procedures to the extent we considered appropriate. These tests covered the application of the office's policies and procedures on selected engagements. The engagements selected represented a reasonable cross-section of the office's engagements conducted in accordance with professional standards. We believe that the procedures we performed provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Our review was based on selective tests; therefore it would not necessarily disclose all design matters in the system of quality control or all compliance matters with the system. Also, there are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any system of quality control; therefore, noncompliance with the system of quality control may occur and not be detected. Projection of any evaluation of a system of quality control to future periods is subject to the risk that the system of quality control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or because the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the system of quality control of the Illinois Office of the Auditor General in effect for financial and single audits and compliance examination engagements of entities with a fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, September 30, 2013, or December 31, 2013 and issued prior to August 31, 2014, and performance audits issued during the period July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014 has been suitably designed and was complied with during the period to provide the audit organization with reasonable assurance of performing and reporting in conformity with *Government Auditing Standards* in all material respects. Audit organizations can receive a rating of *pass*, *pass with deficiency(ies)*, or *fail*. The Illinois Office of the Auditor General has received a peer review rating of *pass*.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Craig M. Murray, C.P.A., C.I.A.  
Team Leader  
National State Auditors Association  
External Peer Review Team

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Carolyn Baez, C.P.A.  
Concurring Reviewer  
National State Auditors Association  
External Peer Review Team